FILED

	Mar 27, 2023			
STEVE WILHELM P61166 Name and Prisoner/Booking Number	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
MULE CREEK STATE PRISON Place of Confinement	_			
4001 HWY 104 /POBOX 409090 E19-03	102			
IONE, CA. 95640				
City, State, Zip Code	-			
(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result	in dismissal of this action.)			
	TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
TOR THE EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
STEUE WILHELM)			
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,	2:22-cv-2323 DB (PC)			
v.) CASE NO. 2:23 ev 0573 CKD (PC)			
(1) SANDAR AUNG, ET. AL.	(To be supplied by the Clerk)			
(Full Name of Defendant)))			
(2))			
(3)) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT) BY A PRISONER			
)			
Defendants,) AOriginal Complaint			
Defendant(s). Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.	First Amended Complaint			
	Second Amended Complaint			
A HIRIS	SDICTION			
1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to:				
X 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983				
28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).				
Other:	C 1			
2. Institution/city where violation occurred: MULE	LAFEK LONE			

1

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	PHYSICIAN at MULE C	The first Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
2.	Name of second Defendant: The	second Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
3.	Name of third Defendant:at	
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
4.		
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
If y	you name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for each ad	ditional Defendant on a separate page.
	C. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS	
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner?	Yes 🗆 No
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describe the prev	rious lawsuits:
	 a. First prior lawsuit: Parties: WILHEIM Court and case number: DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it sometimes) 	1:10-CV-00001-GBC
	b. Second prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:	
	c. Third prior lawsuit: 1. Parties: WILHELM v. UNKI 2. Court and case number: DISTRICT COURT - EASTER 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it s	70407 30 14CECGO3893 still pending?)

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

Disciplinary proceedings Property Exercise of religion Retail Excessive force by an officer Threat to safety Other: 3. Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim I. Describe exact Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without authority or arguments. DR. Rung Has Been Plaintiff's Physician Since About July 3013. Plaint Was First Diffenosen With Kinney Disease Grace 2) November 16. 3017. By Glu This Information Was Peterd on Plaintiff's Permanent Propical. And Comes Up On The Computer Each line Rung Brince Up Plaintiff's Permanent Propical. And Comes Up On The Computer Each line Rung Brince Up Plaintiff's Permanent Propical. And Comes Up On The Computer Each line Rung Brittanton Rate Coff), And His Creatinine Level. To theok his level of Disease, flung Mas Plaintiff Broom Take Broom Test on A Regular Bross To Check his level of Disease, flung Mentioned To Plaintiff At Septend of His Applitments With His Creatinine Level. Unself flung Ups. Plaintiff Creatinine Level. Unself flung Ups. September of Plaintiff's Kidney Specialists, on Put Himon A Special Repair Outp. 19. September Of Plaintiff's Kidney Specialists, on Put Himon A Special Repair Diet. Plaintiff Had An Appointment With Hung September 16. 3030, On An Unre Plaintiff Language Plaintiff's Grantiff To Look At this Extender Annual Plaintiff's Grantiff To Look At this Extender Annual Plaintiff's Grantiff To Look At this Extender Annual Plaintiff's Grantiff Asked Hung Dieth Ton (10) Years She (Anna December 16. 3030, On Hung Plaintiff's Grantiff Ton (10) Years Rung Was West and Special Repair Ton (10) Years Rung Was	DMENT
Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without authority or arguments. DR. Rung Has Been Plaintiffs Physician Since Arout July 2018. Plaint Was First Direnosed With Kidney Disease (Stage 2) November 16, 2017. By Gil. This Information Was Rotted on Printiffs Permanent Theology. Rung Has Plaintiff Take A Blood Test on A Recular Basis To Check His Gillar Hung Has Plaintiff Take A Blood Test on A Recular Basis To Check His Gillar Filtration Rate (Gfr), And His Creatinine Level To Check His Bruar Filtration Rate (Gfr), And His Creatinine Level To Check His Bruar Filtration Rate (Gfr), And His Creatinine Level To Check His Bruar Filtration Rate (Gfr), And His Creatinine Level To Theology His Prointments With His Creatinine Level Was Dropping. Though Rung Was Runge of Plaintiff's Kidney Issue, She Failed To Do Any Follow-Up, it See Nephrologist (Kidney Specialist), or Put Himon A Special Renal Diet. Plaintiff Had An Appointment With Aung September 16, 2020, on An Unre Matter, But While Tiere Plaintiff Asked Her To Look At his Extended And Ling. Plaintiff Has Had An Enlarged Prostate for About Ten (10) Years Stung Was Well and Dropping Plaintiff Asked Rung Bruntiff Asked R	laims. ledical care etaliation
WHO FIRST DIRECTOR WITH KIDNEY DISEASE (STACE 2) NOVEMBER 16, 2017, BY GUALL. THIS INFORMATION WAS RETED ON PRINTIFF'S PERMANENT TIEDICAL. AND COMES UP DO THE COMPUTER EACH TIME AUNG BRINGS UP PLAINTIFF'S MEDICAL AUNG HAS PLAINTIFF TAKE A BLOOD TEST ON A REGULAR BASIS TO CHECK HIS EQUAL RESEARCH OF HIS APPOINTMENTS LATTH HIS CREATININE LEVEL OF HIS APPOINTMENTS LATTH HIS CREATININE LEVEL WAS HIGH, AND HIS GER WAS DROPPING. THOUGH AUNG WARE OF PLAINTIFF'S KIDNEY ISSUE, SHE FAILED TO DO ANY TOLLOW-UP, IC SEE NEPROLOGIST (KIDNEY SPECIALIST), OR PUT HIM ON A SPECIAL RENAL DIET. PLAINTIFF HAD AN APPOINTMENT WITH AUNG SEPTEMBER 16, 2020, ON AN UNKNOWN AND AN APPOINTMENT WITH AUNG SEPTEMBER 16, 2020, ON AN UNKNOWN AS WELL AWARE OF AT THE POINT WHEN PLAINTIFF ASKED HORD FOLLOW-UP. PLAINTIFF HAS HAD AN ENLARGED PROSTATE FOR ABOUT TEN (10) YEARS AUNG WAS WELL AWARE OF. AT THE POINT WHEN PLAINTIFF ASKED AWAS TO BE HUS GROWN AND AN AND AN AND AN ENLARGED PROSTATE (SEE ATTRCHED PROSES SET HUS STOWACH, SEPTEMBER 16, 2030, HIS PROSTATE (SEE ATTRCHED PROSES SET AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE STOWACH, SEPTEMBER 16, 2030, HIS PROSTATE (SEE ATTRCHED PROSES SET AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOWACH AND LAKE AUNCES FAILURE TO PLAINTIFF THE PAIN OF WEARING A CATHETER. (SEE ATTRCHED PROSES SET AUNCES FOR AUNCES FAILURE TO PLAINTIFF THE PAIN OF WEARING A CATHETER. (SEE ATTRCHED PROSES SET AUNCES FOR AUNCES FOR AUNCES FAILURE TO RESPOND THE PLAINTIFF TO THE PLAINTIFF THE PAIN OF WEA	thout citing legal
MRTTER, BUT WHILE THERE PLAINTIFF ASKED HER TO LOOK AT HIS EXTENDED AND HARCH SHE (AUNG) EXAMINED PLAINTIFF SEPMENT, BUT DID NO FOLLOW-UP. PLAINTIFF HAS HAD AN FILARGED PROSTATE FOR ABOUT TEN (10) YEARS RUNG WAS WELL AWARE OF. AT THE POINT WHEN PLAINTIFF ASKED AUNG TO E HIS STOMACH, SEPTEMBER 16, 2030, HIS PROSTATE (SEE ATTACHED PAGES 3F 4. Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). DR AUNC'S FAILURE TO RESPOND REASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STOMACH AND LATION ISSUE). RESULTED IN A SIGNIFICANT INJURY AND FOR UNDECESSARY AND WAS FLICTOD OF PAINTIP, CAUSING PLAINTIFF THE PAIN OF WEARING A CATHETER- (SEE ATTACHED A CATHETER- (SEE ATTACHED SOLD). 5. Administrative Remedies: a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available institution? b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I? C. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain	BY DR. AL RECORD. DICAL RECORD. G GLOMER- EL OF KIDNEY H HER, THAT DAS VERY EE A
DR AURES FAILURE TO KESPOND HEASONABLY TO PLAINTIFF'S CONDITION (STORAGH AND LATION ISSUE). RESULTED IN A SIGNIFICANT INJURY AND FOR UNDECESSARY AND WAY FLICTOR OF PAINTIFF, CAUSING PLAINTIFF THE PAIN OF WEARING A CATHETER - (SEE ATTAINS). Administrative Remedies: a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available institution? b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I? c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain	HARD STOM- ARS, WHICH TO EXAMINE
 a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available institution? b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I? c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain 	WANTON In-
c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain	vailable at your ☑ Yes □ No
d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain	▼ Yes □ No
did not.	Yes No No Plain why you

CONTINUANCE OF PAGE 3-#3

WAS FOUR (4) TIMES LARGER THAN MORMAL, AND HIS PSA COUNT

WAS 58.2, NORMAL COUNT IS AROUND 4.4.

BECAUSE OF THE SIZE OF PLAINTIFF'S PROSTATE, IT HAD PUSHED INTO HIS BLADDER, PARTIALLY CLOSING IT OFF....
THIS CAUSED LIRINE TO BACK-UP IN HIS KIDNEYS AND STOMACH,
BLOATING HIS STOMACH. THIS CAUSED PLAINTIFF TO BE IN BOARDERLINE BETWEEN STAGE 3+4 OF KIDNEY DISEASE.

ALL OF THESE NEW PROBLEMS COULD HAVE BEEN AUDIDED IF AUNG HAD FOLLOWED THROUGH AFTER EXAMING PLAINTIFFS STOMACH, WHICH WAS OBVIOUS THERE WAS A PROBLEM.

PLAINTIFF WAS TEMPORARILY ASSIGNED TO DR. DARAM
BECAUSE DR. AUNG WAS TEMPORARILY RE-ASSIGNED TO ANOTHER
YARD. PLAINTIFF HAD AN APPOINTMENT WITH DR. DARAM
FEBRUARY 8, 2021.... AT THIS APPOINTMENT PLAINTIFF HAD
DARAM EXAMINE HIS STOMACH, AFTER SHE EXAMINED HIS
STOMACH, SHE IMMEDIATELY SENT PLAINTIFF DOWN THE HALL

To DR. RUDIS, A UROLOGIST.

THE UROLOGIST INSERTED A CATHETER INTO THE PLAINTIFF AND DRAINED MORE THAN A "GALLON" OF LIRINE FROM HIS KIDNEYS AND STOMACH. PLAINTIFF HAD TO WEAR THE CATHETER FOR MORE THAN THREE (3) MONTHS LINTIL HE HAD PROSTATE SURGERY TO REMOVE PART OF HIS PROSTATE, TO RELIEVE THE PRESSURE OFF HIS BLADDER. THE UROLOGIST SAID, "IN HIS TWENTY (20) YEARS AS AN UROLOGIST, HE HAS NEVER SEEN THAT MUCH URINE DRAINED FROM A PERSON." FURTHER, DR. DARAM PUT PLAINTIFF ON A SPECIAL RENAL DIET. HAD PLAINTIFF NOT BEEN ASSIGNED

TO DR. DARAM AT THAT POINT IN TIME, AND SHE, DARAM, HAD NOT RECOGNIZED THE PROBLEM WITH PLAINTIFF'S STOMACH AND TOOK THE ACTION SHE DID, PLAINTIFF WOULD BE IN COMPLETE KIDNEY FAILURE."

BECAUSE OF AUNG'S FAILURE TO RECOGNIZE THE CON-NECTION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF'S URINATION PROBLEMS AND HIS STOMACH PROBLEM... AUNG'S FAILURE TO FOLLOW THROUGH ON THESE PROBLEMS, HAS CAUSED PLAINTIFF TO BE IN PERM-ANATE "ADVANCED KIDNEY DISEASE.

1.	St	tate the constitutional or other federal	CLAIM civil right that v		·		
2.			Mail Property	☐ Access to the co☐ Exercise of relig	ourt [Medical called Retaliation	n
3. Def autl	fend	upporting Facts. State as briefly as pdant did or did not do that violated you ity or arguments.	possible the FAC ur rights. State th	TS supporting Clain te facts clearly in you	n II. Describe ur own words v	exactly whe	nat each ing legal
				-			
	-						
		8			· · ·		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			đ
4. ——	Inj	ijury. State how you were injured by	the actions or in	actions of the Defen	ıdant(s).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						\$	
5.	Ad a.	dministrative Remedies. Are there any administrative remed institution?	ies (grievance pr	ocedures or administ	rative appeals) available Ves	at your
	b.	Did you submit a request for admir	nistrative relief o	n Claim II?		☐ Yes	□ No
	c. d.	Did you appeal your request for re If you did not submit or appeal a re did not.	equest for admin	istrative relief at any	/ level, briefly	☐ Yes explain w	□ No hy you ——
			***************************************	-			

1.	CLAIM III State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:		
2.		aim III. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. Basic necessities	
3. Defauth	Sı fend	apporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim III. Describe exactly what each ant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legally or arguments.	
4.	Inj	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).	
5.		ministrative Remedies.	
	a.	Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution?	
	b.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim III?	
	c. d.	Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim III to the highest level? Yes No If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.	

If you assert more than three Claims, answer the questions listed above for each additional Claim on a separate page.

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Compensatory Damages: 1.000,000.00 f	OR BEING PUT IN ADVANCED KIDNEY DISERSE
BY AUNG'S DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO PLAINTIFF'S P	PEDICAL NEEDS: THE PAIN AND SUFFERING WHICH HAVE
OCCURRED AND FACING FUTURE DIALYSIS AND/OR KIDNEY	TRANSPIANT: THE FUTURE MEDICAL EXPENSES
FOR DIALYSIS OR KIDNEY TRANSPLANT THESE ISSUES HAI	DE ALREADY EFFECTED MY CURRENT DAILY LIFE,
HOD WILL LAST A UFETIME.	•
PUNITIVE DAMAGES: \$10,000.00 FOR AUNG'S REC	CKLESS Indifference to Plaintiff's
MEDICAL RIGHTS/NEEDS.	•
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and	d correct
· ·	a correct.
Executed on	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or	
other person who helped prepare this complaint)	•
(Signature of attorney, if any)	
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.

Disscussion

PLAINTIFF HAS A SERIOUS MEDICAL DISEASE (ADVANCE KIDNEY DISEASE) WHICH DR. AUNG HAS SHOWN NOTHING BUT A DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO THOSE MEDICAL NEEDS.
THAT INDIFFERENCE HAS CAUSED PLAINTIFF NEEDLESS PAIN AND SUFFERING, AND PUT HIM IN STAGE 3 KIDNEY DISEASE.

PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DIAGNOSED WITH STAGE 2 KIDNEY DISEASE NOVEMBER 16, 2017. PLAINTIFF HAD BEEN MAINTAINING HIS KIDNEY DISEASE AT STAGE 2, UNTIL DR. AUNG'S INDIFFERENCE TO PLAINTIFF'S STOMACH PROBLEMS, WHICH HE BROUGHT UP TO HER AT HIS MEDICAL APPOINTMENT SEPTEMBER 16, 2020.

THOUGH AUNG WAS VERY AWARE OF PLAINTIFF'S KIDNEY DIS-EASE, SHE FAILED TO SEND HIM TO A NEPHROLOGIST. ALSO, AUNG PRESCRIBED TO PLAINTIFF NITROFURANTOIN, AN ANTIBODY.... THE NEPHROLOGIST TOLD PLAINTIFF AUNG SHOULD HAVE NEUER PRESCRIBED THAT ANTIBODY TO HIM WITH HIS KIDNEY DISEASE.

Moreover, Because of Aung's Indifference To Plaintiff's Stomach Issue, He Had To Endure Another Six Months With Urine Backing Up Into His Blad-DER AND STOMACH, EXACERBATING HIS KIDNEY PROBLEM, AND CAUSING HIM TO ENDURE WEARING A CATHETER FOR MORE THAM THREE MONTHS.

PG.